

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b>	<b>Applicant(s)</b>	
	10/781,354	DICKEN, VOLKER	

**All Participants:**

**Status of Application:** Allowed

(1) DANIEL F. HAJNIK. (3) \_\_\_\_\_.

(2) Loren Pearson (#42,987). (4) \_\_\_\_\_.

**Date of Interview:** 18 March 2009

**Time:** 5PM

**Type of Interview:**

- Telephonic
- Video Conference
- Personal (Copy given to:  Applicant     Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated:  Yes     No

If Yes, provide a brief description: .

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

Prior art documents discussed:

**Part II.**

**SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:**

*Examiner proposed amendments to the claims to put case in condition for allowance and applicant agreed to the proposed changes.*

**Part III.**

- It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
- It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

/XIAO M. WU/  
Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2628

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)